

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,015	EMRICK ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael J. Feely	1796	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael J. Feely. (3) _____.

(2) Rodney D. DeKruif (Reg. No. 35,853). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential prior art rejection(s)

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Lee (US 2003/0066998 A1), Skarzewski et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. DeKruif agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment, in order to overcome the teachings of Lee and Skarzewski.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Feely/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)